

www.ijermt.org

Effect of different insecticides on control of insect pests of the potato crop

Volume 9, Issue-1 Jan-Feb 2022

Ankit Kajla

School of Agricultutal Sciences, Shri Venkateshwara University, Amroha, India-244236

Ajay Kumar Department of Plant Protection, Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut, India-250004

> C.P. Kushwaha Corresponding author Prof. C. P Kushwaha School of Agricultural Sciences Shri Venkateshwara University Amroha India-244236 Email: kushwahacp@gmail.com Mobile: 9336965506

Introduction

In India, anticipated increased demand for vegetables (350 MT by 2030) generated need to develop technologies that enhance the quality and productivity of vegetables under conditions of reducing land, declining natural resources, and increasing biotic and abiotic stresses. Among the three most widely consumed vegetable crops (potato, onion, tomato) the maximum increase in production has been seen in potato (over 10.6%). Globally, potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is one of the most productive and widely grown food crop and rank fourth after maize, wheat, and rice. Potatoes are grown on ca. 18.3 million hectares with a production of 295 million tons. India ranks third in area and second in production in the world. More than 85 percent of India's potatoes are grown in the vast Indo-Gangetic plains of north India during the winter season (October to March). The Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal, and Bihar account for more than 75% of India's potato-growing area and approximately 80% of total production (Pandey and Kang 2003). Potential for exporting potatoes from India is also very high as seed and food material to

ISSN: 2348-4039

Email: editor@ijermt.org

Volume 9, Issue-1 Jan-Feb 2022

www.ijermt.org

neighbouring countries. Even potatoes can be exported to some of the European countries during March-May when fresh potatoes are not available in these countries.

In Indian agriculture, ensuring the sustainable production of potatoes is a critical challenge as insect pests, especially vectors, are major biotic constraints affecting potato yield and tuber quality. Insect pests cause variable and complex problems for potato farmers (Bhatnagar, 2007, Chandel *et al.*, 2013). India has a great diversity of insect pests that attack potatoes from planting to harvest. Because potato crops are vegetative propagated from whole or cut tubers, which easily carry insect pests, many insect pest problems have followed potatoes to areas where they are grown (Chandel et al., 2007). These insect pests can damage potato plants by feeding on leaves and efficiently by attacking stems, weakening them and by attacking potato tubers destined for consumption or use as seed (Chandel et al. 2003). The crop is attacked by a robust complex of phytophagous insects, some of which can destroy the potato crop in the absence of adequate control measures (Bhatnagar, 2007; 2008). In India, approximately 60 billion rupees worth of potato tubers are lost annually due to pest damage accounting 10-20% of total production. The reduction in yield loss by insect pests demands heavy pesticide application at the field level.

The insect pests management in potatoes is predominantly achieved through the application of pesticides. It is estimated that around 13–14% of the total pesticides (50583.47 MT technical grades) used in the country are applied to vegetables. Out of which, insecticides account for two-thirds of the total pesticides used on vegetables. However, productivity trends indicate that application of insecticides will not proportionately increase crop productivity (Misra *et al.*, 2003). In view of abovementioned facts, there is urgent need to evaluate the efficacy of various insecticides against insect pests associated with the potatoes in India. The present study aims to find out the seasonal incidence and economical management of important insect pests.

Material and methods

The present study has been conducted over two seasons (2019-19 and 2019-20) at experimental fields near Shri Venkateshwara University, Amroha, UP. The study area lies between 77^{0} 42' East longitude and $29^{0}17'$

Volume 9, Issue-1 Jan-Feb 2022

www.ijermt.org

North latitude with 237 m above MSL with subtropical, semi-arid climate having hot-dry summer and severe-cold winter. The long-term annual average rainfall is ca. 817 mm and is mostly received in July-September. The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam with an average fertility level.

The experiment was designed in a randomised block design (9 treatments replicated thrice) having plot size 3.0 m x 3.6 m. The seed bed was prepared by the standard methods and ridges were made 45 cm apart having height 15 cm. Seed material (variety *Kufri Pukhraj*) was planted on ridges at 20 cm distance in the first week of November during both years.

The treatments were given by using a knapsack sprayer. The spray solution of insecticides was prepared by using water at the rate of 300–400 litres per hectare as per the height of the crop. The three consecutive sprays were given at a 15-day interval. The first spray was given on the 25th day after emergence, and the second and third sprays were made at 40 and 55 days after emergence, respectively. To compare the efficacy of insecticidal treatments the control (untreated) was established. The details of treatments along with respective doses and methods of application have been described in the Table 1.

S.	Insecticides	Dose	Trade	Method of	Company
No.			name	application	
1.	Abamectin benzoate 1.9% EC	125 ml/ha	Abacin	Foliar spray	Crystalcrop
					protection
					Ltd.
2.	Thiamethoxam25 WG	150 gm/ha	Actara	Foliar spray	Synganta
					India Ltd.
3.	Imidacloprid 17.8 SL	150 ml/ha	Confidor	Foliar spray	Bayar India
	-				Ltd.
4.	Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC	150 ml/ha	Coragen	Foliar spray	Ei Dupont
	_		_		India
5.	Indoxacarb 14.5% SC	250 ml/ha	Avanut	Foliar spray	Kalyani
					Industries Ltd.
6.	Flonicamid 50% WG	150 ml/ha	Ulala	Foliar spray	UPL India
7.	Flubendiamide 39.35% SC	125 gm/ha	Fame	Foliar spray	Bharat Agro
		_			Chemicals &
					Fert.
8.	Spinosad 45% SC	150 ml/ha	Tracer	Foliar spray	Kalyani
					Industries Ltd
9.	Control (wáter spray)	_	_	-	-

Table 1. Information on novel insecticides used in field trials against major insect pests of the potato crop

www.ijermt.org

NPK fertilizers were applied at recommended doses (180:80:100 kg ha⁻¹) with condition that whole amount of phosphorus and potassium were applied during the showing but only half nitrogen was applied as a basal dose. The remaining quantity of N was given in two split doses at 30 days and 45 days after planting.

At weekly intervals, the insect pest complex associated with potato crops was recorded right from the germination of the crop till the harvest on 10 randomly selected plants in each plot. The observations on the population build-up of various insect pests were recorded at different stages of crop growth between 7.00 AM and 9.00 AM till the harvesting of the potato crop. Insects were collected by counting adult and immature stages on the upper, middle, and lower compound leaves of potato plants. The flying insects were collected using a sweep net having a 60 cm long cloth bag, 30 cm in diameter at the mouth, and a 65 cm handle, which was used in the present study. The collected insects were separated into different groups according to insect order.

The incidence of major insect pests of potatoes was recorded at weekly intervals from each plot. The observations on the incidence of whitefly, aphids, and leaf hopper nymphs on potato plants were recorded at weekly intervals from 10 randomly selected potato plants from 3 leaves each of the upper, middle, and lower compound leaves of the plant throughout the crop season, and data was obtained on the number of insects per 3leaves/plant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The whitefly, leafhoppers, aphids are among the most dominant insects pests occurring on potato crops (Table 2). A higher incidence of whitefly was observed on early planted crops. Similarly, leafhoppers infest early potato crops and are active from October to March, depending upon the climatic conditions. The aphid complex stayed with the potato throughout its development. The aphid species *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) and *Aphis gossypii* Glover are most common on potatoes. The whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci (Gennadius)*, is a sap-

Volume 9, Issue-1 Jan-Feb 2022

www.ijermt.org

sucking insect, is also important insect vector for the potato crop in north-central India which transmits potato apical leaf curl (Lakra, 2004).

Scientific name **Order and family** Common Damaging Associated with **Economic** name stage status Hemiptera Aphididae Myzus persicae Green peach Adult & vegetative stage Major aphid Nymph vegetative stage Aphididae Aphis gossypii Cotton aphid Adult & Major Nymph Cicadellidae Empoasca fabae Potato Leaf Adult & Leaves Minor Hopper Nymph Cicadellidae Amrasca devastans Potato Leaf Adult & Leaves Minor Hopper Nymph Whitefly Aleyrodidae Bemisia tabaci Adult & Leaves Major Nymph Lepidoptera Noctuidae Cutworm Leaves Agrotis ipsilon Larva Stary Noctuidae Minor Spodoptera litura Tobacco Larva Leaves Caterpillar Coleoptera Scarabaeidae Holotrichia sp. White Grub Grub Roots and tubers Stary Thysanoptera Thripidae Thrips palmy Thrips Adult & vegetative stage Stary Nymph

Table 2 The insect pest complexes associated with the potato crop.

During both the years of study, insect-pest complexes were recorded right from the crop germination till its final harvest (Table 2). The various insect pests recorded in both the years of experimentation showed an almost similar trend of pest occurrence. During the study period, seven insect species from three different insect orders were recorded, namely Aphididae, Cicadellidae, Aleyrodidae (Hemiptera), Noctuidae, Gelechiidae (Lepidoptera), Scarabaeidae (Coleoptera), and Thripidae (Thysanoptera).

The present study revealed that the stray population of aphids was associated with the vegetative stage of the potato crop (Table 3). Both adults and nymphs of the aphid were damaging stages and caused damage to

Volume 9, Issue-1 Jan-Feb 2022

www.ijermt.org

the potato plant by sucking plant sap. The activity of aphids (*Myzus persicae*) started in November and was constant up to the February month of the crop growing season. It has been reported that activity of aphids started from October through December of the crop (Bhadauri et al. 1998, Patel and Thakur 2005, Khan et al. 2009).

The activity of sucking pest whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci*, started in November and was constant up to the February in the present study. The stray population of whiteflies was associated with the vegetative stage of the potato crop. Whitefly populations are highly diverse and many biotypes have been identified globally in recent years (Chandel *et al.*, 2010). Earlier, Bhatnagar (2007) and Kumar *et al.* (2017) also reported an association of Bemisia *tabaci* with the potato crop. Similarly, Kishore *et al.* (2005) studied whitefly population build up on potato crops and found it significantly high during October to the first fortnight of November with two peaks. Thereafter, it declined till the fourth week of January and attained a peak in first week of March. The maximum population of potatoes occurs in November, followed by a sharp decline by December (Chandel *et al.* 2010).

S. No.	Order and common name	Scientific name			Mont	h	
			Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb
	Hemiptera						
1	Aphid	Myzus persicae	X	X	~	~	~
	Aphid	Aphis gossypii	~	~	~	X	X
2	Potato Leaf Hopper	Empoasca fabae	~	~	~	~	~
3	Whitefly	Bemisia tabaci	~	~	~	~	~
	Lepidoptera						
4	Cutworm	Agrotis ipsilon	X	~	~	X	X
6	Tobacco Caterpillar	Spodoptera litura	X	X	X	X	~
	Coleoptera						
7	White Grub	Holotrichia sp.	X	~	~	X	X
	Thysanoptea				1		
8	Thrips	Thrips palmy.	~	~	~	X	X

Table 3 Occurrence of pest incidence during different months of the potato crop growing season

www.ijermt.org

The activity of the potato leaf hopper, *Empoasca fabae*, started in November and was constant up to the February month of the crop growing season. On the potato leaves, the stray population of potato leaf hoppers, *Empoasca fabae*, was observed. Mainly, the incidence of potato leaf hopper occurred in the early stages of the potato crop, causing hopper burn. It has been worked out that 70–80% hopper burn causes a 10–20% yield loss in potatoes. Both nymphs and adults suck sap from the lower side of the leaves, causing extensive damage by direct feeding on the plants. The stray population of thrips was recorded during November and December.

Cutworms are sporadic pests that have major impotence and are cosmopolitan and polyphagous in nature. They are active from October to April in the plains and during the summer in the hills. The tender sprouts/shoots of 20–40 days old are highly susceptible to cutworm damage. The stray population of cutworms was also recorded during November and December moths of the potato crop growing season. The damaging stage (larvae) of cutworm has started to cause damage to the potato crop by feeding on young potato plants and the leaves of older potato plants.

During 2018-19, from mid-November a mixed population of aphids was observed on potato plants in the range of 2.7 to 13.9 aphids per 10 plants. Interestingly, two peaks in the aphid population were observed (Table 4 and 5). The first peak occurred in mid-December with the highest population (12.5 aphid/ 10 plants) and highest peak of the aphid population (13.9 aphid/10 plants) was recorded during the last week of January. In contrast, aphid incidence in 2019–20 began in the last week of November and the aphid population ranged from 4.2 to 12.4 aphids/ten plants. Similarly, two peaks in the aphid population were also observed during this year. The first peak was observed during mid-December with the highest population (12.4 aphid/10). The second and highest peak of the aphid population (13.9 aphid/10 plants) was recorded during the third week of January. The current findings support those of Sain *et al.* (2017), who reported an aphid population appeared in the western part of Uttar Pradesh in the first week of November.

Volume 9, Issue-1 Jan-Feb 2022

www.ijermt.org

Table 4 Efficacy of insecticides against aphids on potato crops during 2018–19. Values in parentheses are
square root transformed values. The DMR test finds that means with similar letters in columns
are not significantly different (P = 0.05).

Treatment	Dose	Mean number of aphid /10 plants								
			1 st Spray			2 nd Spra	y		3 rd Spray	
		1	5	10	1	5	10	1	5	10
		DBS	DAS	DAS	DBS	DAS	DAS	DBS	DAS	DAS
T ₁ -Thiamethoxam25 WG	150	5.3 ^a	1.7 ^a	2.2 ^a	3.7 ^a	1.3 ^a	2.2 ^a	7.2 ^a	1.6 ^a	3.6 ^a
	gm/ha	(2.5)	(1.7)	(1.8)	(2.1)	(1.5)	(1.8)	(2.9)	(1.6)	(2.0)
T 2-Imidacloprid 17.8 SL	150	5.9 ^a	2.0 ^a	2.9 ^b	3.9 ^a	2.0 ^b	2.7 ^a	5.7 ^a	2.9 ^b	4.7 ^b
	ml/ha	(2.6)	(1.7)	(2.0)	(2.2)	(1.7)	(1.9)	(2.6)	(1.9)	(2.4)
T 3-Flonicamid 50% WG	150	5.8 ^a	2.1 ^a	2.8 ^b	3.7 ^a	2.2 ^b	2.6 ^a	6.1 ^a	3.4 ^b	4.8 ^b
	ml/ha	(2.5)	(1.7)	(1.9)	(2.0)	(1.7)	(1.9)	(2.7)	(2.0)	(2.4)
T ₄ -Abamectin benzoate	125	5.3 ^a	4.1 °	4.9 °	4.1 ^a	3.0 °	3.4 ^b	7.0 ^a	4.7 °	4.8 ^b
1.9% EC	ml/ha	(2.7)	(2.3)	(2.4)	(2.2)	(2.0)	(2.1)	(2.8)	(2.4)	(2.3)
T 5-Indoxacarb 14.5% SC	250	5.7 ^a	5.8 ^d	6.5 ^e	2.9 ^a	5.2 ^{de}	5.5 °	8.1 ^a	6.3 ^d	8.5 °
	ml/ha	(2.5)	(2.6)	(2.7)	(2.0)	(2.5)	(2.5)	(3.0)	(2.7)	(3.0)
T ₆ -Flubendiamide 39.35%	125	5.9 ^a	3.5 ^b	5.5 ^d	4.1 ^a	3.0 °	3.5 ^b	6.9 ^a	4.4 °	5.5 ^b
SC	gm/ha	(2.5)	(2.1)	(2.6)	(2.2)	(2.0)	(2.1)	(2.8)	(2.3)	(2.5)
T ₇ -Spinosad 45% SC	150	5.6 ^a	5.9 ^d	7.9 ^f	3.5 ^a	4.7 ^d	5.5 °	7.1 ^a	7.3 ^e	9.7 ^d
	ml/ha	(2.8)	(2.6)	(3.0)	(2.1)	(2.3)	(2.6)	(2.9)	(2.9)	(3.3)
T ₈ -Chlorantraniliprole	150	5.9 ^a	6.0 ^d	7.7 ^f	4.3 ^a	4.9 ^{de}	5.5 °	6.5 ^a	7.9 ^e	9.2 ^d
18.5% SC	ml/ha	(2.5)	(2.6)	(2.9)	(2.3)	(2.4)	(2.5)	(2.7)	(2.9)	(3.2)
T 9-Control (wáter spray)	-	5.9 ^a	6.1 ^d	7.7 ^f	3.9 ^a	5.4 ^e	6.1 ^d	6.8 ^a	8.1 ^f	9.8 ^d
		(2.6)	(2.7)	(2.9)	(2.1)	(2.5)	(2.7)	(2.8)	(3.0)	(3.3)
CD at 5%	1	N.S	0.54	0.58	N.S.	0.67	0.59	N.S.	0.65	0.89
SE(m)±		0.09	0.180	0.19	0.192	0.221	0.19	0.14	0.22	0.29

Email:<u>editor@ijermt.org</u>

Volume 9, Issue-1 Jan-Feb 2022

ISSN: 2348-4039 www.ijermt.org

Table 5. Efficacy of insecticides against aphids on potato crops during 2019–20. Values in parentheses are square root transformed values. The DMR test finds that means with similar letters in columns are not significantly different (P = 0.05).

Treatment	Dose	Mean number of aphid / 10plants									
		1 st Spray			2	2 nd Spra	у		3 rd Spra	y	
		1	5	10	1	5	10	1	5	10	
		DBS	DAS	DAS	DBS	DAS	DAS	DBS	DAS	DAS	
T ₁ -Thiamethoxam25 WG	150	4.7 ^a	1.4 ^a	2.3 ^a	5.5 ^a	1.3 ^a	1.6 ^a	5.9 ^a	1.3 ^a	3.9 ^a	
	gm/ha	(2.4)	(1.6)	(1.8)	(2.5)	(1.5)	(1.6)	(2.6)	(1.5)	(2.2)	
T 2-Imidacloprid 17.8 SL	150	5.2 ^a	1.7 ^a	2.7 ^a	4.1 ^a	1.7 ^a	2.7 ^b	5.7 ^a	2.8 ^b	4.5 ^{ab}	
	ml/ha	(2.5)	(1.6)	(1.9)	(2.2)	(1.6)	(1.9)	(2.6)	(1.9)	(2.3)	
T 3-Flonicamid 50% WG	150	5.1 ^a	1.7 ^a	2.7 ^a	4.5 ^a	2.2 ^b	3.3 ^b	5.8 ^a	3.6 °	4.8 ^b	
	ml/ha	(2.5)	(1.6)	(1.9)	(2.3)	(1.7)	(2.1)	(2.6)	(2.1)	(2.4)	
T ₄ -Abamectin benzoate 1.9%	125	4.6 ^a	3.8 °	4.7 °	4.6 ^a	3.1 °	4.3 °	5.7 ^a	3.9 °	5.9°	
EC	ml/ha	(2.4)	(2.2)	(2.4)	(2.3)	(2.0)	(2.3)	(2.6)	(2.2)	(2.9)	
T 5-Indoxacarb 14.5% SC	250	5.1 ^a	5.5 ^d	5.9 ^d	5.4 ^a	5.2 ^d	7.3 ^d	5.9 ^a	5.3 ^d	8.7 ^d	
	ml/ha	(2.5)	(2.5)	(2.6)	(2.5)	(2.5)	(2.9)	(2.6)	(2.5)	(3.1)	
T ₆ -Flubendiamide 39.35%	125	5.3 ^a	3.2 ^b	3.7 ^b	5.0 ^a	3.5 °	3.5 °	6.3 ^a	3.6 °	5.7 °	
SC	gm/ha	(2.5)	(2.0)	(2.2)	(2.4)	(2.1)	(2.1)	(2.7)	(2.1)	(2.5)	
T ₇ -Spinosad 45% SC	150	4.9 ^a	5.5 ^d	6.0 ^d	4.9 ^a	5.5 ^d	7.4 ^d	6.3 ^a	6.4 ^e	8.8 ^d	
	ml/ha	(2.4)	(2.6)	(2.6)	(2.4)	(2.5)	(2.9)	(2.7)	(2.7)	(3.1)	
T ₈ -Chlorantraniliprole	150	5.3 ^a	5.7 ^d	6.1 ^d	4.5 ^a	5.6 ^d	7.7 ^d	5.3 ^a	6.5 ^e	8.9 ^d	
18.5% SC	ml/ha	(2.5)	(2.6)	(2.7)	(2.3)	(2.6)	(2.9)	(2.5)	(2.7)	(3.1)	
T ₉ -Control (wáter spray)	_	5.3 ^a	5.8 ^d	6.2 ^d	5.3 ^a	6.3 ^e	7.9 ^e	5.7 ^a	6.7 ^e	9.3 ^d	
		(2.5)	(2.6)	(2.7)	(2.5)	(2.7)	(3.0)	(2.6)	(2.8)	(3.2)	
CD at 5%		N.S	0.45	0.49	N.S.	0.69	0.59	N.S.	0.55	0.71	
SE(m)±		0.14	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.23	0.19	0.17	0.18	0.24	

Similarly, the present findings are also in agreement with those of Shrivastava *et al.* (1971), Pandey *et al.* (2007), Sarkar *et al.* (2008), and Shukla (2014), who reported peak activity of aphids during the second

Volume 9, Issue-1 Jan-Feb 2022

fortnight of January. Similarly, Panday *et al.* (2007) reported the peak activity of the aphid population during the third week of January in the Pantnagar region (India). On the contrary, Rashid *et al.* (2013) observed peak activity in the last week of February. Ghosh *et al.* (2004) reported aphid peak activity during early August in the Tarai region of West Bengal, and Meena *et al.* (2013) reported aphid activity during the first fortnight of September on Kharif potatoes.

In the present study, the first incidence of whitefly was recorded in the second week of November and ranged between 1.3-15.7 whitefly per leaf during 2018–19. The highest peak of whitefly incidence (15.7 whiteflies per 10 plants) was observed in the last week of November, during this period. Interestingly, after the highest peak during the last week of November, the whitefly population started to decline subsequently. Similarly, during 2019–20, the incidence of whiteflies also started in the first week of November, during this period Table-4.5 & 4.6. Interestingly, two peaks of whitefly population were observed during 2019–20. The whitefly population ranged between 0.87 and 13.0 whitefly/ten plants, and the first peak was observed during the first week of December with the highest population (13.00 whitefly/leaf). The second peak of the whitefly population (4.13 whiteflies per 10 plants) was recorded during the first week of February. The present findings corroborate those of Paul and Konar (2005), who reported that whiteflies first appeared on the crop during the first week of December, with a peak in the last week of December. Mathur et al. (2012) reported peak activity of whiteflies during the second week of January. Similarly, Rashid et al. (2013) and Pandey et al. (2007) also recorded peak activity during the third week of December. Interestingly, these findings also corroborate those of Nag (2016), who recorded two peaks of whitefly during the third week of December and January. On the contrary, Oomen and Kumar (2004) observed whitefly incidence from midjuly with a peak in the first week of September.

The incidence of leafhopper was first noticed on the crop in the third week of November during 2018-19, and the leafhopper population ranged between 2.33 and 9.13 leafhoppers/10 plants during this period. The leafhopper population reached two peaks: the first during the last week of November with the highest population (9.13 leafhoppers/10), and the second during the last week of January with the lowest population

ISSN: 2348-4039

Email: editor@ijermt.org

Volume 9, Issue-1 Jan-Feb 2022

www.ijermt.org

(8.33 leafhoppers/10; Table 4.3. Similarly, the incidence of leafhoppers during 2019–20 commenced during the last week of November and the leafhopper population ranged between 3.21 and 10.6 leafhoppers/ten plants during this period. Interestingly, two leafhopper population peaks were observed during 2019–20, with the first peak occurring during the first week of December and having the highest population (10.6 leafhoppers/10 plants). Whereas the second peak of the leafhopper population (5.27 leafhoppers/10 plants) was observed during the last week of January. These finding corroborates with those of Mathur *et al.* (2012) and Nag (2016) who have recorded the maximum density of leaf hoppers during December last week and during the third week of January, respectively.

The aphid population ranged from 5.27 to 5.93 aphids/10 plants in different treatments, which differed nonsignificantly. Data recorded on the 5th day after spraying of all the insecticidal treatments showed that they were reducing the population of aphis beyond control. Minimum numbers of aphids of 1.73 aphids per 10 plants were recorded from T1-Thiamethoxam 25WG @ 150 gm/ha, followed by T2-Imidacloprid 17.8 SL @ 150 ml/ha and T3-Flonicamid 50% WG @ 150 ml/ha, 2.00 and 2.07 aphids per 10 plants, respectively. T₁, T2, and T₃ were found to be statically on par with each other. T₁, T₂ and T₃ were followed by T6flubendiamide 39.35% SC @125 gm/ha and T4-abamectin benzoate 1.9% EC @125 ml/ha with 3.53 and 4.13 aphids/10 plants, respectively. Whereas T7-Spinosad 45% SC @150 ml/ha and T8-Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC @150 ml/ha were statically non-significant and on par with control along with T9-control (water spray). On the other hand, on the 10th day after spraying of treatments, similar trends were also observed. The minimum aphid population was recorded from T1-Thiamethoxam 25WG @ 150 gm/ha, followed by T2-Imidacloprid 17.8 SL @ 150 ml/ha and T3-Flonicamid 50% WG @ 150 ml/ha, resulting in 2.20, 2.87 and 2.80 aphids/plant, respectively. T₁ was significantly different from T₂ and T₃, whereas T₂ and T₃ were statistically on par. These treatments were followed by T₄, T₆, T₅, T7, and T₈ (Table 6).

Interestingly, similar effects of insecticide treatments on the aphid population were observed during the years 2019–20. One day before spraying treatments, aphid populations were found statically non-significant in all experimental plots and ranged from 4.60 to 5.27 aphids/plants.

International Journal of Engineering Research & Management TechnologyISSN: 2348-4039Email:editor@ijermt.orgVolume 9, Issue-1 Jan-Feb 2022www.ijermt.org

The minimum aphid population was recorded from T_1 (1.40 aphid/10 plants), followed by T_2 (1.67 aphid/10 plants) and T_3 (1.73 aphid/10 plants), which were statically at par. T6 and T4 recorded 3.20 and 3.80 aphids per 10 plants, respectively, while T5, T7, and T8 were statistically equivalent to the control. On the 10th day after treatment, the lowest aphid population was recorded from T_1 (2.33 aphid/10 plants), followed by T_2 (2.67 aphid/10 plants), T_3 (2.73 aphid/10 plants) and T_6 (3.73 aphid/10 plants). Interestingly, T_1 , T_2 and T_3 were statically at par. There was the least effect on the aphid population was observed in T_5 , T7, and T_8 , which were found statically at par with the aphid population in T_9 (Table 7).

Table 6. Pooled efficacy of insecticides against aphids on potato crops during study period.

Treatment	Dose	Mean number of aphid /10 plants								
		1 st Spray		y	2	nd Spra	y		3 rd Spray	
		1	5	10	1	5	10	1	5	10
		DBS	DAS	DAS	DBS	DAS	DAS	DBS	DAS	DAS
T ₁ -Thiamethoxam25 WG	150	5.0	16	2.8	46	13	19	65	15	3.8
	gm/ha	(2.4)	(1.6)	(1.8)	(2.3)	(1.5)	(1.7)	(2.7)	(1.6)	(2.1)
T 2-Imidacloprid 17.8 SL	150									
2 1	ml/ha	5.5	1.8	2.8	4.0	1.8	2.7	5.7	2.9	4.6
		(2.6)	(1.7)	(1.9)	(2.2)	(1.6)	(1.9)	(3.0)	(1.9)	(2.4)
T ₃ -Flonicamid 50% WG	150	5 5	1.0	20	2.0	2.2	2.0	5.0	2.5	19
	ml/ha	(2.5)	(1.7)	(1.0)	(2, 2)	(1.7)	(1.0)	(2.6)	(2, 1)	(2, 4)
T Abamaatin banzoata	125	(2.3)	(1.7)	(1.9)	(2.2)	(1.7)	(1.9)	(2.0)	(2.1)	(2.4)
1 4-Abameciin benzoare	n125	4.9	3.9	4.8	4.4	3.0	3.9	6.4	4.3	5.3
1.970 EC	1111/11a	(2.4)	(2.2)	(2.4)	(2.3)	(2.0)	(2.2)	(2.7)	(2.3)	(2.5)
T 5-Indoxacarb 14.5% SC	250									
-	ml/ha	5.4	5.6	6.2	4.1	5.2	6.4	6.9	5.8	8.6
		(2.5)	(2.6)	(2.7)	(3.2)	(2.5)	(2.7)	(2.8)	(3.0)	(3.1)
T ₆ -Flubendiamide 39.35%	125	5.6	3.1	17	15	37	35	6.6	4.0	56
SC	gm/ha	(2.5)	(2, 1)	(2.4)	(2,3)	(2.0)	(2.1)	(2.8)	(2, 2)	(2.5)
T Spinosad 45% SC	150	(2.5)	(2.1)	(2.4)	(2.3)	(2.0)	(2.1)	(2.0)	(2.2)	(2.5)
1 <i>4 5pinosau 4570</i> 5C	ml/ha	5.3	5.7	6.9	4.2	4.9	6.5	6.7	6.9	9.3
	IIII/ IIu	(2.5)	(2.6)	(2.8)	(2.3)	(2.4)	(2.7)	(2.8)	(2.8)	(3.2)
T ₈ -Chlorantraniliprole	150	56	58	69	44	52	6.6	59	72	9.0
18.5% SC	ml/ha	(2.6)	(2.6)	(2.8)	(2.3)	(2.9)	(2.7)	(2.6)	(2.9)	(3.2)
T ₉ -Control (wáter spray)										
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	6.5	6.0	6.9	4.6	5.9	7.0	6.2	7.4	9.5
		(2.5)	(2.6)	(2.8)	(2.4)	(2.6)	(2.8)	(2.7)	(2.9)	(3.2)
CD at 5%		N.S	0.16	0.48	N.S	0.67	0.4	N.S	0.56	0.78
SE(m)±		0.14	0.23	0.16	0.15	0.22	0.15	0.13	0.183	0.26

Volume 9, Issue-1 Jan-Feb 2022

www.ijermt.org

Table 7 Efficacy of whitefly insecticides on potato crop in 2019–20. Values in parentheses are square roottransformed values. The DMR test finds that means with similar letters in columns are notsignificantly different (P = 0.05).

Treatment	Dose			Mea	an numb	er of whi	itefly/10 p	lants		
			1 st Spray	7		2 nd Spra	у		3 rd Spray	y
		1	5	10	1	5	10	1	5	10
		DBS	DAS	DAS	DBS	DAS	DAS	DBS	DAS	DAS
T ₁ -Thiamethoxam25	150	6.13 ^a	1.87 ^a	2.73 ^a	8.67 ^a	1.93 ^a	2.30 ^a	8.00 ^a	3.33 ^a	4.27 ^a
WG	gm/ha	(2.67)	(1.69)	(1.93)	(3.10)	(1.69)	(1.80)	(2.99)	(2.01)	(2.20)
T ₂ -Imidacloprid	150	6.93 ^a	2.80 ^b	3.20 ^a	8.00 ^a	2.00 ^{ab}	3.67 ^a	7.07 ^a	3.93 ^a	5.33 ^{bc}
17.8 SL	ml/ha	(2.81)	(1.90)	(2.05)	(2.98)	(1.67)	(2.15)	(3.00)	(2.20)	(2.49)
T ₃ -Flonicamid 50%	150	6.27 ^a	2.33 ^b	3.07 ^a	7.60 ^a	2.87 ^b	4.13 ^b	6.80 ^a	3.87 ^a	5.47 ^{bc}
WG	ml/ha	(2.70)	(1.80)	(2.01)	(2.93)	(1.90)	(2.26)	(2.71)	(2.10)	(2.46)
T ₄ -Abamectin	125	6.07 ^a	4.93 ^c	5.07 ^b	8.20 ^a	4.93 ^c	5.73 ^c	7.67 ^a	5.87 ^b	5.47 ^{bc}
benzoate 1.9% EC	ml/ha	(2.66)	(2.43)	(2.46)	(3.02)	(2.43)	(2.55)	(2.78)	(2.58)	(2.49)
T 5-Indoxacarb	250	6.20 ^a	6.67 ^d	8.00 ^{ef}	9.07 ^a	7.40 ^d	9.40 ^d	8.80 ^a	8.60 ^f	9.20 ^d
14.5% SC	ml/ha	(2.68)	(2.77)	(3.05)	(3.15)	(2.89)	(3.21)	(2.93)	(3.09)	(3.19)
T ₆ -Flubendiamide	125	6.73 ^a	5.00 ^c	5.87 ^c	8.67 ^a	4.73 ^c	5.60 [°]	7.73 ^a	6.33 ^b	6.13 [°]
39.35% SC	gm/ha	(2.76)	(2.43)	(2.16)	(3.12)	(2.36)	(2.56)	(3.09)	(2.67)	(2.64)
T ₇ -Spinosad 45%	150	6.40 ^a	7.07 ^{de}	7.87 ^e	8.80 ^a	7.40 ^d	9.13 ^d	7.80 ^a	7.40 ^e	10.40 ^d
SC	ml/ha	(2.72)	(2.82)	(2.95)	(3.12)	(2.89)	(3.18)	(3.17)	(2.89)	(3.37)
T ₈ - Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC	150 ml/ha	6.60 ^a (2.76)	7.53 ^{ef} (2.92)	7.33 ^d (2.88)	7.03 ^a (3.07)	8.20 ^e (3.03)	10.20 ^e (3.34)	7.13 ^a (2.79)	8.67 ^f (3.11)	9.87 ^d (3.28)
T 9-Control (wáter	_	6.47 ^a	7.73 ^f	8.40 ^f	9.00 ^a	9.67 ^f	10.47 ^e	7.47 ^a	9.07 ^f	10.47 ^d
spray)		(2.73)	(2.95)	(2.98)	(3.14)	(3.25)	(3.37)	(2.96)	(3.17)	(3.37)
CD at 5%		N.S	0.580	0.514	N.S.	0.699	0.638	N.S.	0.755	0.864
SE(m)±		0.082	0.192	0.170	0.119	0.231	0.211	0.176	0.250	0.286

The leaf hopper population ranged from 4.40 to 5.07 leaf hoppers/10 plants in different treatments, which differed non-significantly (Table 8) . Data recorded on the 5th day after spraying of all the insecticidal treatments showed that they were reducing the population of leaf hoppers over control. The lowest numbers of leaf hoppers, 1.60 leaf hoppers/10 plants, were recorded from T₁ (Thiamethoxam @150 gm a.i./ha), followed by T₂ (imidacloprid @150 gm a.i./ha) and T3-Flonicamid 50% WG @ 150 ml/ha, 1.73 and 2.07 leaf hoppers/10 plants, respectively. T₁, T2, and T₃ were found to be statically on par with each other. T₁, T₂ and T₃ were followed by T4-Abamectin benzoate 1.9% EC @125 ml/ha and T6-Flubendiamide 39.35% SC @125 gm/ha with 4.07 and 4.40 leaf hopper/10 plants, respectively, whereas T5-Indoxacarb 14.5% SC @

International Journal of Engineering Research & Management TechnologyISSN: 2348-4039Email:editor@ijermt.orgVolume 9, Issue-1 Jan-Feb 2022www.ijermt.org250 ml/ha, T7-Spinosad 45% SC @ 150 ml/ha and T8-Chlorantraniliprole 18.5% SC @ 150 ml/ha werestatically on par with (control) T9. On the other hand, on the 10th day after spraying of treatments, similartrends were also observed. The lowest leaf hopper population was recorded from T1 (Thiamethoxam @ 150gm a.i./ha), followed by T2 (imidacloprid @ 150 gm a.i./ha) and T3 (Emamectin benzoate @ 125 gm a.i./ha),yielding 2.13, 2.20, and 2.0 leaf hopper/10 plants, respectively. T2 and T3 were statistically on par with T1.Among other treatments, a minimum population was recorded from T4 (4.0 leaf hopper/10 plants), T6 (4.67leaf hopper/10 plants), T7 (5.20 leaf hopper/10 plants), T8 (5.93 leaf hopper/10 plants) and T5 (6.13 leafhopper/10 plants), respectively.

Table 8 Effectiveness of insecticides against leaf hoppers on potato crops.

Treatment	Dose	Mean number of leaf hopper/ 10 plants									
			1 st Spray	•		2 nd Spray	7	3 rd Spray			
		1 DBS	5 DAS	10	1 DBS	5 DAS	10	1 DBS	5 DAS	10 DAS	
				DAS			DAS				
T ₁ -Thiamethoxam25 WG	150	4.60 ^a	1.60 ^a	2.13 ^a	3.20 ^a	0.93 ^a	2.00 ^a	6.20 ^a	1.93 ^a	2.47 ^a	
	gm/ha	(2.34)	(1.60)	(1.76)	(2.04)	(1.39)	(1.70)	(2.68)	(1.71)	(1.81)	
T 2-Imidacloprid 17.8 SL	150	4.73 ^a	1.73 ^a	2.20 ^a	3.53 ^a	1.67 ^b	2.67 ^b	5.00 ^a	2.80 ^b	3.67 ^b	
	ml/ha	(2.36)	(1.62)	(1.77)	(2.09)	(1.60)	(1.84)	(2.31)	(1.94)	(2.14)	
T ₃ -Flonicamid 50% WG	150	4.40 ^a	2.07 ^a	2.80 ^b	3.27 ^a	2.00 ^b	3.13 ^b	6.00 ^a	2.93 ^b	4.27 ^b	
	ml/ha	(2.30)	(1.73)	(1.94)	(2.05)	(1.72)	(2.02)	(2.64)	(1.92)	(2.24)	
T ₄ -Abamectin benzoate	125	4.40 ^a	4.07 ^b	4.53 °	4.00 ^a	3.40 ^c	4.07 ^c	5.60 ^a	4.87 ^c	5.27 ^c	
1.9% EC	ml/ha	(2.30)	(2.25)	(2.35)	(2.22)	(2.07)	(2.22)	(2.55)	(2.41)	(2.42)	
T 5-Indoxacarb 14.5% SC	250	5.07 ^a	5.27 °	6.13 ^e	4.13 ^a	4.60 ^d	5.67 ^d	6.27 ^a	6.60 ^d	8.73 ^d	
	ml/ha	(2.43)	(2.49)	(2.66)	(2.49)	(2.34)	(2.55)	(2.68)	(2.75)	(3.11)	
T ₆ -Flubendiamide 39.35%	125	4.47 ^a	4.40 ^b	4.67 °	4.07 ^a	3.47 ^c	4.00 ^c	6.47 ^a	5.20 ^c	5.33 ^c	
SC	gm/ha	(2.33)	(2.32)	(2.37)	(2.23)	(2.09)	(2.21)	(2.73)	(2.48)	(2.51)	
T ₇ -Spinosad 45% SC	150	4.40 ^a	5.07 °	5.20 ^d	4.13 ^a	4.87 ^d	6.27 ^e	6.67 ^a	6.40 ^d	9.33 ^{de}	
	ml/ha	(2.30)	(2.43)	(2.45)	(2.25)	(2.35)	(2.68)	(2.75)	(2.70)	(3.21)	

		0		U		0				
Email: <u>editor@ijermt.org</u>			Volume	9, Issue	e-1 Jan-I	Feb 2022	2	<u>www.ij</u>	ermt.org	<u>r</u>
T ₈ -Chlorantraniliprole	150	4.87 ^a	5.00 °	5.93 °	4.40 ^a	5.07 ^e	6.73 ^e	6.13 ^a	7.33 ^d	9.07 ^{de}
18.5% SC	ml/ha	(2.41)	(2.45)	(2.62)	(2.32)	(2.44)	(2.73)	(2.63)	(2.86)	(3.13)
T 9-Control (wáter spray)	-	4.53 ^a	5.33 °	6.60 ^f	4.87 ^a	5.73 ^e	7.07 ^f	6.33 ^a	7.40 ^e	9.80 ^e
		(2.32)	(2.51)	(2.75)	(2.37)	(2.59)	(2.81)	(2.30)	(2.83)	(3.26)
CD at 5%		N.S	0.482	0.498	N.S.	0.711	0.684	N.S.	0.763	0.910
SE(m)±		0.245	0.159	0.165	0.234	0.252	0.226	0.218	0.252	0.321

Imidacloprid application (either as seed treatment or as foliar application) early in the crop season significantly suppress the population of whiteflies (*B. tabaci*) on potatoes in the present study. Khan *et al.* (2011) also reported that imidacloprid 1.6 FS and thiamethoxam 25 WG were significantly superior in suppressing the population of aphids (*M. persicae*) on potatoes by 67.79–74.92 percent, respectively. Similarly, Bhatnagar (2012) observed that imidacloprid 17.8 SL @ 0.04 % drenching was highly effective in reducing the population of thrips, whiteflies and jassid on potatoes up to 45 to 55 days after planting, which is in accordance with our present findings. Moreover, Jamshid *et al.* (2012) and Malik *et al.* (2012) reported similar results that sequential sprays of imidacloprid and thiamethoxam were effective against sucking pests of potatoes. Anand *et al.* (2013) and Gavkare et al. (2013) reported that Thiamethoxam was most effective against aphids, followed by Imidacloprid on potatoes. Similarly, Ghosal and Chatterjee (2013) observed that Imidacloprid was superior against whitefly with the lowest pest population and the highest marketable tuber yield. Bhatnagar (2013) reported that Imidacloprid as a seed treatment and spray effectively suppressed the sap feeder population in potato crops.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study determining the insect pest complex associated with potato crop and its population buildup, along with the efficacy of new group of insecticides against insect pests. The study revealed that the stray population of aphids (*Myzus persicae*) started in November and was constant up to February during both cropping years. Similarly, the activity of sucking pest whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*) initiated in November

ISSN: 2348-4039

ISSN: 2348-4039

Email: editor@ijermt.org

Volume 9, Issue-1 Jan-Feb 2022

www.ijermt.org

and was constant up to the February. The activity of potato leaf hopper (*Empoasca fabae*) started in November and was constant up to the February. The stray population of cutworms was also recorded during November and December months of potato crop growing season. Similarly, the minor population of tobacco caterpillars (*Spodoptera liturawas*) was observed during the February moths of the potato crop growing season. The stray population of white grub was observed during November and December of the crop growing season. Interestingly, the stray population of thrips was also recorded during the November and February months of the potato crop growing season.

References

- Anand, G. K., Sharma, R. K. and Shankarganesh, K. (2013) Efficacy of Newer Insecticides against Leaf Hopper and Whitefly Infesting Brinjal and its Effect on Coccinellids. *Pesticide Research Journal*, 25(1): 6-11.
- Bhadauri, N. S., Bhadauri, N. K. S. and Jakhmola, S. S (1998) Seasonal incidence of potato aphids, *Myzus persicae* (Sulz.). In north-west Madhya Pradesh. *Adv. Pl. Sci.*, 11(2): 59-61.
- Bhatnagar, A (2007) Incidence and succession of thrips, leafhoppers and whitefly in combination of planting dates and potato varieties. *Ann.Pl. Prot. Sci.*, 15(1): 101-105.
- Bhatnagar, A (2008) Insect associated with potato in Madhya Pradesh. *Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystem*, 13 (2): 21-24.
- Bhatnagar, A (2013) Management of sap sucking and soil insect pests of potato with insecticides. *Pesticide Res. J.*, 25(2): 170-173.
- Chandel, R. S., Banyal, D. K., Singh, B. P., Malik, K., Lakra, B. S (2010) Integrated management of whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) and potato apical leaf curl virus in India. *Potato Research*. 53: 129–139.
- Chandel, R. S., Dhiman, K. R., Chandla, V. K. and Kumar, V. (2007). Integrated pest management in potato. In: Jain, P. C., Bhargava, M. C. (E ds.), *Entomology: Novel Approaches in Entomology*, New India Publishing Agency, New Delhi, India, pp. 377-398.
- Chandel, R.S., Chandla, V.K. and Sharma, A (2003) Population dynamics of potato white grubs in Shimla hills. *Journal of Indian Potato Association* 30(1-2): 151-152.
- Chandel, R.S., Chandla, V.K., Verma, K.S. and Pathania, M (2013) Insect pests of potato in India: Biology and Management. Insect pests of potato (Eds. Andrei Alyokhin, Charles Vincent and Philippe Giordanengo). 227-268.
- Gavkare, O., Kumar, S. and Sharma, P.L. (2013)Evaluation of some novel insecticides against *Myzus Persicae* (Sulzer). *The Bioscan*, 8(3): 1119-1121.

Volume 9, Issue-1 Jan-Feb 2022

www.ijermt.org

- Ghosal, A. and Chatterjee, M. L. (2013) Bioefficacy of Imidacloprid 17.8 SL against whitefly, *Bemisia* tabaci (Gennadius) in brinjal. J. Pl. Prot. Sci, 5(1): 37-41.
- Ghosh, S. K., Laskar, N. and Senapati, S. K. (2004) Seasonal fluctuation of *Aphis gossypii* Glov. on brinjal and field evaluation of some pesticides against *A. gossypii* under terai region of West Bengal. Ind. *J. Agric. Res*, 38(3): 171-177.
- Jamshaid, I. M., Saghee, M. and Muhammad, N. (2012). Management of *Amrasca biguttula biguttula* (Ishida) on okra, *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Monech. *Pakistan Journal of Agriculture Sciences*, 49(2): 179-184.
- Khan, M. A., Saljoqi, A. U. R., Hussain. N. and Sattar, S. (2011) Responce of *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) to imidacloprid and thiamethoxam on susceptible and resistant potato varieties. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 27(2): 263-269.
- Khan, S.H., Chattoo, M.A., Mushtaq, F., Hussain, K. and Baba, M.Y. (2009) Regional specific technologies for potato production in India. *Potato Bulletin* 3: 51-63.
- Kishore, R., Singh, B. P. and Parihar, S. B. S (2005)Population dynamics of whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci* Genn.) on potato crop in relation to weather factors. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences India. *Biological Sciences*, 75(4): 257-260.
- Kumar, Awaneesh, Sachan, S. K., Kumar, Sudhir and Kumar, Promish (2017). Efficacy of some novel insecticides against white fly (*Bemisia tabaci* Gennadius) in brinjal. *Journal ofEntomology and Zoology Studies*, 5(3): 424-427.
- Lakra, B. S. (2004) Health status of potato crop in Haryana an overall view. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, 33(3/4): 294-296.
- Mallik, K., Sing, B. P. and Tomar, S. (2012). Spiromesifen (Lipid Biosynthesis Inhibitor) for management of whitefly on potato. *National consultation on potato Res. and Dev. way forword*, pp. 100.
- Mathur, A., Singh, N. P., Meena, M. and Singh, S. (2012) Seasonal incidence and effect of abiotic factors on population dynamics of major insect pests on brinjal crop. *J. Environ. Res. and develop*, 1(7): 53-55.
- Meena, R. S., Ameta, O. P. and Meena, B. L. (2013) Population dynamics of sucking pests and their correlation with weather parameters in chilli (*CapsicumAnnum*) Crop. *The Bioscan*, 8(1): 177-180.
- Misra, S. S., Singh, D. B., Chandla, V. K. and Raj, B. T. (2003). Potato pests and their management. In: Khurana , S. M. P., Minhas, J. S., Pandey, S. K. (Eds.), *The Potato- Production and Utilization in Subtropics*, Mehta Publishers, New Delhi, India , pp. 252-269.
- Nag, D. (2016) Seasonal incidence and management of major insect pests on *rabi*potato at Raipur M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis1-130.
- Oommen, S. and Kumar, A. (2004) Seasonal incidence of insect pests of potato. *Indian J. Appl. Ent.*, 18 (1):65-66.
- Pandey, R., Rai, M.K., Sharma, K. and Chaudhari, D. (2007) Studies on population dynamics of *Myzus* persicae on potato crop with special reference to its relation with various weather parameters. Veg. Sci., 34(2): 167-169.

Email:editor@ijermt.org

Volume 9, Issue-1 Jan-Feb 2022

ISSN: 2348-4039

<u>www.ijermt.org</u>

- Pandey, S. K. and Kang, G. S. (2003). Ecological and varietal improvement. In: Khurana, S. M. P., Minhas, J. S., Pandey, S. K. (Eds.), *The potato production and utilization in subtropics*, Mehta Publishers, New Delhi, India, pp. 48-60.
- Patel, R. K. and Thakur, B. S. (2005) Insect pest complex and seasonal incidence in linseed with particular reference to budfly (*Dasineuralini* Barnes). J. Pl. Prot. and Environ, 2(2): 102-107.
- Paul, S. and Konar, A. (2005) Population dynamics of whitefly on potato planted ondifferent dates. *Tat. J.*, 1; 251.
- Rashid, M. H., Khatun, M. J., Mahfuz, M. S., Dash, C. K. and Hussain, M. A. (2013) Seasonal fluctuation of insect pests of brinjal at agricultural research station. *International Journal of Experimental Agriculture*,3(1): 4-8.
- Sain, Y., Singh, R. and Kumar, S. (2017) Seasonal incidence of cabbage aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.) (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in Meerut region, Uttar Pradesh. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 5(6): 314-317.
- Sarkar, A., Konar, A., Hazra, S. and Choudhuri, S. (2008) Incidence and chemical control on Mustard in new alluvial zone of west Bengal. *Journal of Entomological Research*,31(1):41-43.
- Shukla, K. R. (2014)Seasonal incidence of sucking pest and their natural enemies on potato. *Environ. Ent.*, 54(3): 11-13.
- Srivastava, A. S., Katiyar, S. S. L., Awasthi, B. K., Srivastava, K. M. and Nigam, P.M. (1971) Field assessment of aphid population on potato crop. *Zeitschriftfur angewandte Entomologir*, 69.1(4): 44-48.